

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,587	10/04/2001	John Pitts	60,469-053; OT-4987	1199
7.	590 08/08/2003			
Theodore W.	Olds		EXAMINER	
Suite 350	ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.		CHARLES,	MARCUS
400 West Maple Road Birmingham, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/970,587		PITTS ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Marcus Charles	3682	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eit	her a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS fr ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW 706.07(f).	om the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petit have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresp 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	conding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	,			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration	n and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspor NOTE:	nding number of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation S				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not draised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	lirected SOLELY to issues which were newly			
□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 15, 16, 19 and 21-27 as per final rejection mailed (<u>06-02-2003</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved	d or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-144	9) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:				
	Marcus Charles Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3682			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/970,587



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument is not persuasive so as to bring the claims into condition for allowance.